Norway grants

MINISTRY OF INVESTMENTS, REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND INFORMATIZATION OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC

ANNEX 2

Sexual assault centres (SAC)

SCL02

SOCIAL: Women and Roma empowerment and support Norway Grants 2021-2028 Slovak Republic

Selection criteria

Administrative compliance and eligibility requirements

The Administrative Compliance Check and the Eligibility Check of the Application and of the Applicant is ensured by the technical means of the **EGRANT system**.

The system will **allow** an **Application to be submitted**, if:

- the Application is submitted via EGRANT system within the time frame specified in the Call,
- all mandatory fields of the Application in the system are completed,
- the completed Applicant details meet the definition of an eligible applicant,
- the completed Partner/-s details meet the definition of eligible partners,
- the amount of the grant applied for meets the minimum and maximum limits for the grant to be applied for,
- the planned Project duration is within the minimum and maximum duration set by the Call,
- the mandatory attachments, including attachments related to the partnership and/or ownership are attached, as requested and appropriate,
- the mandatory activities and target value indicators have been filled in,
- the maximum share of eligible expenditure for infrastructure and equipment has been observed,

For the purposes of this Call, hospitals with emergency departments are considered eligible entities.

The requirements for establishing the SAC are based on a feasibility study conducted in the Slovak context titled "Integrated Assistance in Cases of Sexual Victimization[1]"

The submitted Application cannot be supplemented. The Application will be evaluated as submitted.

Content-related criteria

No.	Criteria	Description	Range	Score	Comments
1. Importance of the project and its contribution to the issue (a total of 60 points)					
1a	**Availability and accessibility of SAC services[2]** The project application must demonstrate that: • The project ensures continuous 24/7 availability of SAC services within the hospital's emergency department. (0 - 5 points) • The project ensures that all core SAC services are provided in a single location. (0 - 5 points) (eliminating criterion)		0 – 10		
1b	**Quality of the SAC model** The quality and feasibility of the proposed SAC model as an integrated, interdisciplinary "one-stop-shop" service. The assessment will consider in particular: • complexity of the SAC model – the extent to which healthcare, forensic examination, psychosocial support and referral are functionally integrated into a coherent service model (0 – 8 points) • organisation and service delivery model - clarity of client pathway, internal organisation of the SAC, coordination of services in one place (0 – 5 points) • survivor-centred and trauma-informed approach - measures to minimise secondary victimisation, ensure safety, dignity and informed consent (0 – 5 points) • operational capacity and staffing - availability and composition of interdisciplinary team, clarity of roles and responsibilities (0 – 4 points) • feasibility and readiness for implementation - feasibility of the proposed model, readiness for implementation, utilization of existing capacities (0 – 3 points)		0 – 25		
1c	**Focus on vulnerable groups** The extent to which the project ensures accessibility of services for vulnerable or marginalised groups. The assessment will consider in particular:		0 – 10		

[1] The minimum requirements for the structure of the Sexual Assault Centre (SAC) can be found in Annex 1.

[2] See the Annex 1.

	• clearly defined focus on specific vulnerable groups - e.g. Roma women, refugees, women with addictions, women in sex work, persons with disabilities and provided justification for their selection (0 – 5 points) • concrete measures to ensure access - not only declarative (0 – 5 points)			
1d	**Response to the war in Ukraine** The extent to which the project responds to the needs arising from the war in Ukraine, particularly in relation to access to services for survivors of sexual violence. (0 – 5 points) The assessment will consider in particular: • description of the focus of the SAC model on specific group, affected by the war in Ukraine. • integration of concrete measures into the overall SAC model to ensure its accessibility for the specified group. into the overall SAC model	0 – 5		
1e	**Integration into the existing system of services and cooperation with Coordination-Methodological Centre for Prevention of violence against women (CMC) and other stakeholders** The extent to which the project demonstrates commitment and preparedness to integrate the SAC into the existing system of services, in cooperation with the CMC and other relevant stakeholders. The assessment will consider in particular: • commitment to participate in the development and implementation of referral pathways and in education/training activities in cooperation with the CMC (0–4 points) • commitment to cooperate with relevant stakeholders (CMC, MLSAF SR, service providers) and to ensure linkages to follow-up services (0–3 points) • commitment to ensure continuity of care, including referral to and coordination with follow-up support services (0–3 points)	0 – 10		
2. Project structure, risks, activities and outcomes (a total of 22 points)				
2a	The proposed time schedule for project implementation is clear, realistic and corresponds to the content and needs of the project - it clearly defines the process of implementation of individual activities and outcomes.	0 – 6		
2b	The logical framework of the project clearly outlines the relevance of the project in relation to the Programme, specifying the indicators and methods of their verification (outcomes, outputs, objectives). The structure of the project, activities, outputs and outcomes are clearly defined. The activities are interconnected.	0 – 5		
2c	The overall objective of the project is in line with the result / outcome of the Programme. The baseline and target indicator values are realistic; the indicators are quantifiable and objectively measurable in terms of quantity and time. The resources for verification are specified in the project and will be available and appropriate for verification of the baseline and target indicator values.	0 – 5		

2d	Project risks are clearly defined and relevant, including a proposal of risk elimination and mitigation measures.	0 – 6		
3. Cost-effectiveness and sustainability (a total of 14 points)				
3a	The detailed budget is indicated for the entire project period. The indicated budget items are necessary for the implementation of the project activities, and the indicated amounts are reasonable. Unit prices are adequate and correspond to prices that are usual in the local market.	0 – 6		
3b	Total project costs are adequate to the expected results.	0 – 4		
3c	The proposed activities have the potential for long-term sustainability and development of further related activities.	0 – 4		
4. Specific requirements (a total of 4 points)				
4	The project contributes to strengthening of bilateral cooperation with Norwegian partners: • the cooperation includes a bilateral exchange of experts in the field of victims of violence (0 - 2 points), • the cooperation includes a seminar focused on sharing experience regarding the provision of services for minorities (0 - 2 points),	0 – 4		

Total score - 100 points

Minimum quality threshold - Projects receiving **less than 60 points on average** shall not be supported.

Eliminating criteria For criteria marked as eliminating criteria, a score of **0** by all experts means that the project may not be recommended for support by the Selection Committee.