Iceland Liechtenstein Norway **Civil Society** Fund

| Nadace **OSF**

VDV VÝBOR DOBRÉ VŮLE Nadace Olgy Havlové

EEA Civil Society Fund


OPEN CALL FOR CIVIL SOCIETY ORGANISATIONS

from Karlovy Vary and Pilsen regions

SMALL PROJECT REGIONAL GRANTS

AVAILABLE FUNDING: €51 558

DEADLINE: 15 September 2026



SUMMARY OF THE CALL

This summary is intended solely for quick reference and does not include all binding rules. Before submitting your application, please review the full text of the call for proposals and consult the relevant sections of the Guide for Applicants and Project Promoters (hereinafter referred to as the "Guide"), where you will find detailed rules and conditions. The Guide is available for download on the programme's website.

Background for the EEA Civil Society Fund	The programme focuses on supporting democracy, the protection of human rights and the development of civil society organisations with the aim of strengthening their resilience and long-term sustainability. The programme's financial allocation in the Czech Republic is €20,3 million.
Focus of this call	**Outcome 1: Democratic values and rule of law strengthened** ● Output 1.1: CSO participation in democratic processes increased ● Output 1.2: Civic engagement increased ● Output 1.3: Information integrity and media literacy increased **Outcome 2: Human rights strengthened** ● Output 2.1: Human rights, including anti-discrimination, and social justice supported ● Output 2.2: Gender equality, including SRHR, and LGBTIQ+ rights supported ● Output 2.3: Climate action, environmental protection, and a just green transition supported **Outcome 3: Strengthened civil society organisations promoting democracy, the rule of law and human rights** ● Output 3.1: Organisational development supported ● Output 3.2: N/A
Country specific focus areas	● addressing challenges arising from the invasion of Ukraine ● inclusion and empowerment of Roma, including support for Roma-led organisations and Roma women and girls ● countering gender-based violence
Target groups	Civil society organisations, disadvantaged groups (particularly Roma communities, LGBTQI+ people, people at risk of violence, and migrants), public administration and the general public.
Grant amount	€2 000–€5 000
Co-financing	Not required.
Project duration	6 months
Project start date	February 2027
Eligible Applicants	A non-profit civil society organisation, independent of any public or commercial entity, with at least one year of active history.
Eligible Partners	Any formal or informal, public, commercial or private entity that respects common values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and the respect for human rights including the rights of persons belonging to minorities.Organisations from the Czech Republic, Donor States, and Beneficiary States are eligible
Deadline	15 September 2026, 13:00

1. BACKGROUND FOR THE EEA CIVIL SOCIETY FUND

The objective of the EEA Civil Society Fund is to contribute to a **vibrant and resilient civil society that protects and promotes democracy, the rule of law, and human rights**. The program will strengthen civil society and increase its sustainability. **Support to civil society is a key priority for the EEA and Norway Grants**, funded by Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway. The overall objectives of EEA and Norwegian Financial Mechanisms 2021-2028 is to contribute to the reduction of social and economic disparities in the European Economic Area (EEA) and to strengthen bilateral relations between the Donor States[1] and the Beneficiary States[2].

10% of the overall funding of the EEA and Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2021–2028 has been set aside for support to civil society through the EEA Civil Society Fund. The allocation for the EEA Civil Society Fund In Czechia is **€20,3 million** (i.e. **half a billion CZK**).

In the **Czech Republic**, the programme is managed by a consortium of **OSF Czechia and the Committee of Good Will – Olga Havel Foundation**. OSF Czechia focuses on **developing a vibrant civil society and strengthening democratic values in the Czech Republic**. Through its programmes, it systematically supports transparency in public administration, quality education, and the active engagement of citizens in public affairs. **The Committee of Good Will – Olga Havel Foundation** (hereinafter "OHF") is primarily dedicated to helping people with disabilities, abandoned children, and seniors in difficult life situations. Its goal is the **consistent advocacy of human rights for disadvantaged groups and the creation of conditions for their full integration into society.**
The programme in the Czech Republic will include open calls aimed at strengthening the following areas:

- democratic values and rule of law
- human rights
- development of civil society organisations
- bilateral cooperation

The programme encourages cooperation between civil society organisations in the Czech Republic and organisations from the three Donor States—Iceland, Liechtenstein, and Norway. **Regional initiatives** are intended for activities that support regional exchange, knowledge sharing, and networking across civil society in the Beneficiary states, with these activities being planned jointly by the Fund operators in all Beneficiary States countries, Donor representatives, or Donor contact points. The Fund for regional civil society initiatives **is not intended for direct project applications** from organisations, as is the case with other types of grants.

The programme provides support for CSOs throughout Czechia. Applications from CSOs based in all regions, particularly in under-served geographic areas, are encouraged. The Fund Operator will provide support to such CSOs during the application and project implementation. Among the country-specific focus areas of the programme in the Czech republic are the **inclusion and empowerment of Roma, addressing challenges arising from the invasion of Ukraine, and the countering of gender-based violence.**

The EEA and Norwegian Financial Mechanisms 2021–2028 are based on the common values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities. All organisations and activities funded by the EEA and Norwegian Financial Mechanisms 2021–2028 shall be consistent with respect for these values and principles and abstain from supporting operations that may fail to do so. Their implementation shall comply with the fundamental rights and obligations enshrined in relevant standards. An overview of all open calls and other activities supported by the programme can be found on the website.

[1] Norway, Liechtenstein, Iceland.
[2] Bulgaria, Czechia, Croatia, Cyprus, Estonia, Greece, Hungary, Lithuania, Latvia, Malta, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia.

2. FOCUS OF THIS CALL

2.1 Purpose of this call

This call **strengthens democracy, human rights, and civil society resilience** across the regions of the Czech Republic. We respond to the needs of regional areas facing polarization, declining trust in institutions, and social exclusion. The program **removes barriers** that limit public engagement in democratic processes and addresses low civic participation.

We recognize the structural vulnerability of organizations outside Prague that suffer from underfunding and capacity constraints. Therefore, **the applicant community** receives rapid support to reinforce its role within local communities and develop internal structures.

We actively identify and **support community initiatives** that connect the non-profit sector with informal groups and active individuals. Our ultimate goal is a **viable civil society** that champions the principles of the rule of law, equality, and transparency.

2.2 Results-based management


The EEA Civil Society Fund in Czechia focuses primarily on achieving concrete social change and measurable results. The programme uses a so-called results framework that helps keep this focus, and that defines what is eligible for support. Each project must be consistent with the programme's results framework at these three levels:

- **Outcome:** The Applicant chooses **one** of the three main programme outcomes that the project will aim to achieve.
- **Outputs**: Within the selected outcome, the Applicant chooses **one or more** outputs of that outcome that they want to achieve through their project.
- **Indicators**[3]: Indicators are a means to quantifiably measure progress and help to indicate the extent to which an outcome or output has been achieved. The Indicators are used to monitor progress and measure results at the programme level for this call. Each project is required to use at least one of the programme-level indicators from the selected outcome and output(s).

Each project must contribute to one single programme outcome. Within that outcome, a project may support one or more outputs. In addition to the outcome, a project may also contribute to the bilateral outcome—if it is implemented in partnership with a project partner from one of the Donor States (Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway).

Project Milestones

In addition to outcomes, outputs, and indicators, Applicants set **milestones** to divide the project into distinct implementation phases. For information on how to work with milestones in the application form, see Chapter **5. Preparing the Project Proposal** in the **Guide**. For this grant type, projects have a duration of **6 months**, and you should state **1–2 milestones** in the application form.



[3] For a detailed explanation of the indicators and how to demonstrate them, see **Annex 1: Indicator Library**.

2.3 Outcomes and Outputs

The EEA Civil Society Fund in the Czech Republic focuses primarily on achieving concrete social change and measurable results. The programme has a clearly defined framework of outcomes and outputs that determines which activities and projects are eligible for support. When evaluating grant applications, one of the key assessment criteria is whether and to what extent the project contributes to achieving the expected outcomes and outputs established for each area of support.

Outcome 1: Democratic values and rule of law strengthened

The outcome addresses the growing erosion of democratic participation, public trust, and social cohesion in the Czech Republic. Increasing polarization, disinformation, exclusion from decision-making processes, and declining confidence in democratic institutions weaken the resilience of society and limit meaningful civic engagement, particularly among disadvantaged and underrepresented groups. At the same time, independent media, civil society actors, and local communities face structural barriers that reduce their capacity to contribute effectively to democratic governance and informed public debate.

The goal is a more vibrant, participatory, and resilient local environment in which civil society organizations, communities, and individuals play an active role in shaping public life and community development. The aim is to strengthen civic engagement, public accountability, and social cohesion at the regional level. This will be achieved by fostering constructive public discourse, improving media and digital literacy, and empowering diverse groups of residents to critically evaluate information and effectively engage with public authorities.

Output 1.1: CSO participation in democratic processes increased

Key needs and challenges to be addressed

Current democratic processes face systemic barriers that hinder the effective involvement of civil society in decision-making. Public administration often lacks **mechanisms for open participation and does not systematically utilise civil society organisations as intermediaries between the state and citizens.** A fundamental problem is the **neglect of the expertise and data of organisations,** including Roma organisations, in the legislative process. This situation is exacerbated by low mutual trust and insufficient knowledge of how institutions function. Furthermore, participation is often perceived by public administration and political representatives as an ineffective burden or a disruption of established procedures, which leads to r**esistance to deeper public involvement in the management of public affairs.**

Expected results

Our goal is to increase the involvement of local associations in decision-making and to **strengthen the influence of citizens on the development of their surroundings**. We will support activities that clearly explain p**eople's opportunities to influence local development** and encourage cooperation with the municipality or city. We strive to deepen **trust between neighbors and municipal representatives**, so that joint discussion becomes a natural part of life in the region. We want authorities to make greater use of the experience of local people when solving specific problems. The result should be the **establishment of contacts** that will allow the community to respond together and effectively to its real needs.

Output 1.2: Civic engagement increased

Key needs and challenges to be addressed

Civic life in the Czech Republic is hampered by growing **social divisions, low trust in government agencies, and a lack of interest on the part of political representatives in community development.** Furthermore, many people are unsure of how to effectively engage in public affairs, and the **potential of volunteers and expert organisations remains untapped.** A major challenge is the low participation of the Roma community, women, young people, and other groups in collective decision-making. Civil society organisations themselves are often isolated and struggle to attract a new generation. These problems are most evident in structurally disadvantaged regions, where limited access to resources and opportunities deepens skepticism and the feeling that the voices of ordinary citizens do not matter.

Expected results

The main objective is to encourage **active neighborhoods and people's desire to change their surroundings**, while also strengthening the interest of local governments in community needs. We will support activities that clearly show people **how to get involved in the life of the municipality** or how to support the activities of local associations. Our intention is to develop **local volunteering** and create a space where people of different generations and backgrounds can meet. We place special emphasis on ensuring that **young people, seniors, Roma,** and those whose voices have not been heard much so far, also have the opportunity to express themselves. The result should be the **overcoming of feelings of isolation** and strengthening the awareness that even a small local initiative can have a meaningful impact on a satisfied life in the region.

Output 1.3: Information integrity and media literacy increased

Key needs and challenges to be addressed

The media landscape in the Czech Republic is facing instability, exacerbated by **political challenges to public service media and a critical lack of support for regional newsrooms**. Independent journalists face pressure from strategic lawsuits against public participation (SLAPP), which are intended to silence criticism. Society as a whole is **vulnerable to disinformation and hate speech**, which **reinforces polarisation and prejudice** against minorities, including the Roma and LGBTIQ+ communities. The state’s resilience is also weakened by low digital literacy and a lack of skills for safe internet use. Furthermore, rapid digital transformation without accompanying measures widens the gap between people and leads to the **exclusion of groups in vulnerable situations** who are unable to effectively use the government’s digital services.

Expected results

The priority in this area is to strengthen people's ability to **distinguish true information** from myths and half-truths circulating in their surroundings. We will support activities that **explain local issues** in an understandable way and help people think critically about what they hear or read on social media. Support will also be granted to projects that actively **break down prejudices** against minorities or **groups in vulnerable situations** and strive to ensure that different communities in the region can live alongside each other without unnecessary tension.

We also strive to **increase digital literacy** so that particularly **groups in vulnerable situations** or people in difficult situations can safely use the internet and online communication with authorities. We want to achieve a state where people in the region better understand the digital world and are not easily influenced by **hate speech or disinformation**, which will contribute to a calmer and more constructive coexistence throughout the community.

Outcome 2: Human Rights strengthened

This outcome area addresses persistent inequalities, discrimination, and gaps in access to rights, services, and opportunities that undermine social justice and democratic inclusion in Czechia. Structural barriers continue to affect vulnerable and marginalized groups, including Roma communities, women, LGBTIQ+ people, migrants and newcomers from Ukraine, young adults leaving institutional care, informal caregivers, and people experiencing mental health or social exclusion challenges. These issues are compounded by chronic underfunding, limited political support, rising polarization and disinformation, and insufficient preventive and community-based services.

The goal is a more just, inclusive, and respectful environment in which human rights, equality, and dignity are protected across all regions. The aim is to strengthen local prevention, expand access to timely support services, and eliminate structural discrimination and harmful stereotypes. Furthermore, the outcome seeks to foster mutual solidarity, empower local communities to actively participate in environmental governance, and ensure that the rights of vulnerable individuals are safeguarded amidst advancing digitalization.

Output 2.1: Human rights, including anti-discrimination, and social justice supported

Key needs and challenges to be addressed

The protection of human rights and social justice in the Czech Republic are hampered by **shortcomings in systemic support and prevention,** which particularly affect **groups in vulnerable situations** such as caregivers, people with a history of addiction, and young adults. Capacities of key services in the areas of **mental health and social housing** are critically limited, which exacerbates the persistent social exclusion of Roma communities in terms of access to education and decision-making processes. **Barriers to the integration of those arriving as a result of the invasion of Ukraine**, who face a lack of housing and difficulties in finding work commensurate with their qualifications, pose a significant challenge. Moreover, these human rights issues are compounded by chronic **underfunding and societal prejudices.** The overall situation is exacerbated by growing societal fatigue from crises and the spread of disinformation, which undermine the legitimacy of organisations defending the rights of these disadvantaged groups.

Expected results

The main objective is to ensure that every person in the region has **equal access to assistance and fundamental rights**, regardless of their situation or background. We will support projects focused on addressing the social exclusion of Roma communities, their lower participation and unequal access to education, and on improving the status, living conditions, and access to services for those arriving as a result of the invasion of Ukraine and other migrants.

We will also support initiatives that bring **more humanity and justice** into everyday life and can offer timely help to people in need or in difficult stages of life. We strive for local organisations to be able to **improve the availability of specific services** where they have been lacking and to actively prevent conflicts or the deepening of poverty in the community. The result should be a **safer and fairer environment** where no one feels neglected due to their disadvantaged situation.

Output 2.2: Gender equality, including SRHR, and LGBTIQ+ rights supported

Key needs and challenges to be addressed

Organisations advocating for **gender equality and the rights of LGBTIQ+ people in the Czech Republic face chronic underfunding and political pressures** that stigmatise their work and undermine public discourse. Despite majority support from society, systemic changes face a lack of political will, as evidenced by the **absence of legislation** on marriage equality and the unratified Istanbul Convention. In gender equality rankings , the Czech Republic lags behind the rest of the EU, which most severely impacts **groups in vulnerable situations** such as Roma women and single mothers. **Gender-based violence** is one of the most striking manifestations of gender inequality. Key challenges in this area include a **lack of relevant data, insufficient development of prevention measures,** and a **critical shortage of specialised services for victims**, particularly in regional areas. Within the programme, the issue of **sexual and reproductive health and rights** is also closely linked to gender equality issues, since the right to make decisions about one's own body is a fundamental prerequisite for the equal status of women and LGBTIQ+ people in society.

Expected results

The main objective is to create a safe and respectful environment in the region that promotes gender equality and protects the rights of LGBTIQ+ people in their everyday lives. We will support activities that clearly explain the benefits of the **equal status of women, girls,** groups in vulnerable situations**, LGBTIQ+ people, and ethnic minorities**, and help overcome ingrained prejudices in local communities, workplaces, and families. We will focus on the **prevention of domestic and gender-based violence** and on improving the availability of help for those who encounter it in their surroundings. We also emphasise the **fight against extremism** stemming from gender prejudice, supporting the participation of women in democratic processes, and **improving the social status and living conditions of LGBTIQ+ people**. Our intention is to strengthen the voice of all disadvantaged people in solving local problems and to support initiatives that provide them with the courage and tools for self-advocacy. We strive for topics such as **safety, respect for diversity, and the right to self-determination** to become a natural part of the discussion, and for local actors to work together toward a more sensitive and just approach to all residents.

Output 2.3: Climate action, environmental protection, and a just green transition supported

Key needs and challenges to be addressed

Czech climate advocacy faces chronic underfunding. Public mobilisation is hindered by **limited media attention and the delegitimization of environmental issues by political actors.** A key challenge is the lack of expert capacity in the regions and the **prioritization of local issues over long-term climate protection.** Furthermore, the uneven distribution of the costs of the green transition increases the risk of **energy poverty,** which, combined with a lack of intergenerational dialogue among activists, complicates a just transition to **sustainability.**

Expected results

The main objective is to encourage residents to **care for the landscape and nature** directly in the place where they live. We will support activities that provide **practical solutions for a healthier environment**, such as green space management, water retention, or energy savings. Our priority is for this transformation to be fair, efficient, and not to **burden people at risk of poverty**; therefore, we will focus on projects that help reduce the cost of living in communities. We strive to **connect generations and cooperate with local governments and municipality** so that environmental protection becomes a common interest of the entire community. The result should be the **strengthening of the municipality's resilience** and the creation of an environment where caring for nature is a natural part of everyday life.

Outcome 3: CSOs that promote democracy, the rule of law, and human rights, strengthened

This outcome addresses iInsufficient, non-systemic funding that has long weakened the institutional capacities of Czech civil society organisations, limiting their strategic growth, digitalization, and professional human resources management while increasing burnout risks for leaders. This situation is exacerbated by low public awareness and a lack of impact data, which undermines the sector's legitimacy.

The goal is a more resilient, professional, and long-term sustainable civil society sector that serves as a confident pillar of the democratic system across all regions. The aim is to strengthen the institutional foundations, strategic planning, and technological capacities of regional civil society organizations. Furthermore, the outcome seeks to foster workforce development and well-being, enhance cross-sectoral and international cooperation, and improve the visibility and demonstrable value of civic initiatives within local communities.

Output 3.1: Organisational development supported

Key needs and challenges to be addressed

The civil society sector in the Czech Republic is grappling with long-term financial uncertainty and complex bureaucracy, forcing organisations to focus on **short-term survival rather than strategic development.** A lack of resources is reflected in outdated technology, poor cybersecurity, and an overall **digital debt.** People are facing burnout and fatigue from the crises of recent years, while the **sector is aging and failing to sufficiently motivate the younger generation or systematically utilise expert volunteers.** Furthermore, organisations often lack key skills in fundraising, PR, and data analysis. This prevents them from effectively measuring and communicating their impact, leading to low public awareness of their true significance and making it difficult to build a stable base of Donors and supporters.

Expected results

The main objective is to strengthen the internal operations of organisations so that they can focus on **developing their activities in the region**. We will prioritize **human resources development, the sustainability of organisational leadership, and the well-being of their employees**. We will also support education and the development of knowledge, skills, and know-how, as well as community building and the involvement of volunteers and experts in the work of local organisations.

Output 3.2: An enabling environment for civil society supported

This output is not available under this call.

2.4 Country-specific focus areas of the Civil Society Fund programme

The EEA Civil Society Fund in the Czech Republic focuses on three country-specific focus areas, which are:

- **Addressing challenges arising from the invasion of Ukraine**

Project activities focused on the integration and support of those arriving as a result of the invasion of Ukraine, as well as projects in the field of information integrity that combat disinformation related to the invasion of Ukraine. Support is targeted at projects implemented in the Czech Republic.

- **Inclusion and empowerment of Roma, including support for Roma-led organisations and Roma women and girls**

The programme also focuses on supporting **Roma-led organisations** in which Roma are directly involved in decision-making and leadership positions, and for **Roma-based organisations.** The main goal of this support is to empower these organisations and their leaders to become active and confident actors who defend the interests of their communities, support Roma women and girls, participate in decision-making processes, and combat anti-gypsyism.

- **Countering gender-based violence**

The main objective of the support is **countering gender-based violence and** to **empower women and girls, as well as other groups in vulnerable situations**, and to promote systemic changes in the areas of prevention, victim protection, and prosecution of perpetrators. The programme aims to create conditions in which groups in vulnerable situations can safely exercise their rights, participate in decision-making processes, and fight against gender stereotypes and extremism.

In addition to these country-specific focus areas, the Civil Society Fund also facilitates access to funding for CSOs in regions, especially in under-served geographic areas. We focus on supporting organizations operating in regions, particularly those facing long-term socioeconomic challenges. These are typically areas with limited access to opportunities, higher unemployment rates, and specific social needs within local communities.

2.5 Educational and mentoring program

Organisations supported under this call for proposals will receive not only funding for their own projects but also participation in an **educational program** provided by OSF Czechia . These activities are funded directly by OSF Czechia and will take place not only during the project but also in the periods before and after its implementation.

The goal of the educational program is to provide Project Promoter with additional support based on their individual needs, strengthening their internal development. The programme will also facilitate the **sharing of experience** among Project Promoters and the development of cooperation within the given region.
The educational program will include:

- **An analysis of the organisation's needs**, allowing for the preparation of a tailor-made program;
- **Individual support** in the form of mentoring or consultations;
- **Joint events**—workshops and sharing sessions that will support the development of skills and know-how in key areas (e.g., fundraising, PR, advocacy, etc.).

2.6 Small project regional grant details

Small project regional grants are intended for small and regional organisations.
The goal is to support one-off **local/community initiatives** and events, networking within regions, and **connecting locally active organisations with active individuals or informal groups**. Supported initiatives must be based on knowledge of the local environment, have an **impact on the local community**, and have **potential for further development**. These may be projects that are just trying to kick-start activities in their community, or those that further and meaningfully develop the Applicant's existing activities aimed at active citizen involvement. Each project must contribute to one of the programme outcomes and at least one related output. The outcomes and outputs applicable to this call can be found in Chapter 2.3 Outcomes and Outputs.

Support in this call for proposals announced in 2026 is intended only for organisations from **Karlovy Vary and Plzeň Regions**. In the upcoming years, we will grant support to organisations from other regions.
Due to the focus on specific local initiatives, these projects are short-term, with a **duration of 6 months**.

Call allocation	€51,558
Number of supported projects	10–15
Project duration	6 months
Start date of project implementation	February 2027
Grant amount per project	€2,000–€5,000

The table below provides an overview of relevant programme indicators. Choose those that best correspond to your activities and set target values for them. If your application is approved, these values will become binding targets, the fulfilment of which you will have to report. Detailed explanations of the indicators and illustrative list of **sources of verification** can be found in **Annex 1: Indicator Library**, which is also available on the programme website. An overview of the outcomes and outputs applicable to the small project regional grant can be found in Table 1 at the end of this call for proposals.

Overview of indicators by selected output:

Outcomes and Outputs	Code	Indicator	Disaggregation[4]
Outcome 1: Democratic values and rule of law strengthened	**CIV-001**	Number of national laws or policies developed or revised with civil society participation	N/A
	CIV-002	Number of local laws or policies developed or revised with civil society participation	N/A
Output 1.1: CSO participation in democratic processes increased	**CIV-004**	Number of CSOs participating in consultations with a public institution	Roma-led and Roma-based
	CIV-016	Number of policy advocacy outputs delivered by supported CSOs	N/A
Output 1.2: Civic engagement increased	**CIV-010**	Number of people engaged in CSO activities as volunteers	Roma
	CIV-007a	Number of people who completed a CSO-led training courses on civic engagement and/or community building	Roma
	CZE-001	Number of politicians and officials of public administration who participated in CSO-led training courses [on civic engagement and/or community building]	N/A
Output 1.3: Information integrity and media literacy increased	**CIV-007**	Number of people who participated in CSO-led training courses	N/A
	CIV-007b	Number of people who completed a CSO-led training courses [on digital literacy]	N/A
	LOC-011	Number of local or community-based media outlets supported	Roma-led and Roma-based
	CIV-015	Number of public advocacy outputs delivered by supported CSOs	Roma
	CZE-002	Number of identified and/or reported SLAPPs against CSOs/media	N/A
Outcome 2: Human rights strengthened	**CIV-001**	Number of national laws or policies developed or revised with civil society participation	N/A
	CIV-002	Number of local laws or policies developed or revised with civil society participation	N/A
Output 2.1: Human rights, including anti-discrimination, and social justice supported	**CIV-015**	Number of public advocacy outputs delivered by supported CSOs	N/A
	CIV-016	Number of policy advocacy outputs delivered by supported CSOs	N/A
	CIV-018	Number of strategic litigation cases initiated by the supported CSOs	Roma
	CIV-020	Number of human rights violations documented and reported by supported CSOs	Roma women
	VAL-010	Number of activities, services, and measures to improve living conditions and access to services for groups in vulnerable situations.	N/A
Output 2.2: Gender equality, including SRHR, and LGBTIQ+ rights supported	**CIV-015**	Number of public advocacy outputs delivered by supported CSOs	N/A
	CIV-016	Number of policy advocacy outputs delivered by supported CSOs	N/A
	CIV-007	Number of people who completed a CSO-led training courses	N/A
	CIV-018	Number of strategic litigation cases initiated by the supported CSOs	N/A
	CIV-021	Number of cases of survivors of human rights violations protected and/or supported	LGBTIQ+ people
Output 2.3: Climate action, environmental protection, and a just green transition supported	**CIV-004**	Number of CSOs participating in consultations with a public institution	N/A
	CIV-007	Number of people who participated in CSO-led training courses	N/A
	CIV-015	Number of public advocacy outputs delivered by supported CSOs	N/A
	CIV-016	Number of policy advocacy outputs delivered by supported CSOs	N/A
	CIV-018	Number of strategic litigation cases initiated by the supported CSOs	N/A

[4] Data disaggregation means that you divide the overall target figure into the specific subgroups listed under your selected indicator. This allows you to accurately demonstrate who received the support.

Outcomes and Outputs	Code	Indicator	Disaggregation[5]
Outcome 3: CSOs that promote democracy, the rule of law, and human rights	**CIV-001**	Number of national laws or policies developed or revised with civil society participation	N/A
	CIV-002	Number of local laws or policies developed or revised with civil society participation	N/A
	CIV-035	Number of supported CSOs with improved overall organisational strength	N/A
Output 3.1: Organisational development supported	**CIV-036**	Number of supported CSOs with a new or improved organisational policy [on sustainability]	Roma-led and Roma-based
	CIV-040	Number of CSO staff who have completed a training course	N/A
	CIV-037	Number of supported CSOs with upgraded IT systems	N/A

[5] Data disaggregation means that you divide the overall target figure into the specific subgroups listed under your selected indicator. This allows you to accurately demonstrate who received the support.

2.7 Bilateral cooperation

If the Applicant submits a project in cooperation with a Project partner from one of the Donor States, it fulfills not only the thematic Outcome 1-3 but also the **Bilateral Outcome: Strengthened bilateral cooperation between civil societies,** which involves **strengthened cooperation between organisations in the Czech republic and organisations in Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway.**

The achievement of the projects' objectives will be tracked through **the bilateral survey** completed by the Project Promoter at the end of the project. **See Annex 1: Indicators Library.**

If your primary goal is an initiative with one of the Donor States, consider applying for a grant under the ongoing **Call for bilateral initiatives**. You can find the details directly in the text of the call.

2.8 Target groups

Main target groups of the programme:

- **Civil society organisations**;
    - **that focus on the programme's areas;**
    - **led by Roma women and men;**
    - **small and regional;**
    - **networks** (platforms, associations, coalitions).

Final beneficiaries of support:

- **Disadvantaged groups and groups working to promote their rights**
    - **Roma women and men;**
    - **migrants,** with a current focus on those arriving as a **result of the invasion of Ukraine;**
    - **LGBTQI+ people;**
    - **girls and women;**
    - **groups at risk of violence and gender-based violence;**
    - **groups from under-served geographic areas;**
    - **groups in vulnerable situations:** seniors, people at risk of poverty and the climate crisis, children from vulnerable families, and people with disabilities;
    - **young people;**
- **Staff, board members, and volunteers.**

Indirect target groups:

- **public administration actors and politicians :** state and municipal officials, policymakers;
- **media and journalists**: media outlets, journalists;
- **the general public:** citizens, the community, community leaders, the private sector, and employees.

2.9 Supported activities

You are not limited by the programme in your choice of project activities. It is important that you choose activities that are relevant, realistic, and feasible for you or your Project Partner.
Here are examples of supported activities under the EEA Civil Society Fund. Other possible activities can be found in the **Guide** in Chapter **2.6 Supported activities**.

Advocacy, watchdog, and legislative activities

- advocacy and lobbying activities;
- watchdog activities and monitoring of policy development and implementation;
- strategic litigation.

Research, Analysis, and Data

- surveys, studies, research, and analyses assessing the current state of affairs;
- needs assessments and proposals for optimal solutions;
- social innovation and the development of social entrepreneurship.

Public Participation and Policy Making

- engaging the public in decision-making processes and public policy development;
- strategic planning and planning processes with the public;
- tools and processes for the participation of disadvantaged groups in decision-making.

Communication, campaigns, and PR

- PR activities and campaigns to support advocacy work and systemic change;
- campaigns to strengthen civic participation, promote human rights, and combat discrimination;
- activities that strengthen the legitimacy and credibility of civil society organisations.

Education, Mentoring, and Awareness-Raising

- consultations, mentoring, and education;
- civic education and professional training;
- education of employees.

Developing and strengthening internal capacities

- strategic planning, leadership development;
- financial sustainability and fundraising;
- monitoring and evaluation processe

The educational program provided to the Project Promoter by OSF Czechia (see Chapter 2.5 Educational and mentoring program for more details) is funded directly by OSF Czechia —therefore, you do not need to include it in the project budget. The aim of these grants is **not to fund social services** as such, but primarily advocacy activities, Roma empowerment and those arriving as a result of the invasion of Ukraine to participate, monitoring human rights violations, and promoting systemic change at all levels. Social services may be supported only if they are necessary for enhancing advocacy, community participation, and/or civic engagement.

2.10 Project Timeline

For this grant type, projects have a duration of **6 months.**
The project schedule is linked to specific **milestones** that serve as indicators of ongoing progress. While the schedule provides the project with a timeline, milestones give it substantive content. Their fulfilment is essential to achieving the project's objectives, which will be tracked during the monitoring process. In the project grant application form, you specify a total of **1 - 2 milestones**, regardless of the project's duration.

3. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS AND PROJECT PARTNERS

All Applicants and Project Partners must respect the common values of **respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law, and human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities**, and act in accordance with the fundamental rights and obligations enshrined in relevant international agreements.

3.1 Eligible Applicants

The Applicant is the entity responsible for the overall initiation, preparation and implementation of the project (the Project Promoter). Eligible Applicants are CSOs that are **established in the Czech Republic,** which meet the condition of **one year from the date of registration** of the organisation by the deadline for the call, and fall within the following definition:

> *A non-profit organisation with a non-commercial purpose, independent of local, regional and central government, public entities, political parties and commercial organisations.*

This type of grant is intended for **small local and less experienced civil society organisations that are registered and operate in the Karlovy Vary or Plzeň Region**.

Eligible Applicants for grants are CSOs that are registered as:

- **Association (Spolek);**
- **Institute (Zapsaný ústav);**
- **Public Benefit Corporation (Obecně prospěšná společnost);**
- **Foundation and endowment fund (Nadace a nadační fond);**
- **Interest association of legal entities (Zájmová sdružení právnických osob);**
- **Legal entity established by a registered church or religious society (charities)** under the Act No. 3/2002 Coll. on Freedom of Religion and the Status of Churches and Religious Societies **(Účelové zařízení registrované církve a náboženské společnosti).** These entities are eligible provided that they comply with the above values and principles and if the activities funded do not directly or indirectly promote religious doctrine, mission, or proselytism related to a specific faith (beyond basic religious or cultural awareness raising).

Religious institutions and political parties, social partners, informal groups or profit-distributing entities are not eligible Applicants.

For more information on Applicant eligibility, please refer to the **Guide** in Chapter **4. Eligibility of Applicant and Project Partners.**

3.2 Eligible Project Partners

Projects may be implemented in partnership with Project Partners. Project Partners must be **actively involved** in the project and **contribute effectively** to its implementation. The following entities are eligible to be Project Partners:

- Any **public or private entity**, commercial or non-commercial, as well as CSOs, established as a legal person either in the **Donor States**[6], **Beneficiary States**[7];
- An **international intergovernmental organisation**[8] or body or agency thereof;
- **Informal, ad hoc and self-help organisations**, including "grassroots" organisations that are not registered legal entities (hereinafter referred to as "informal groups"). An informal group acts exclusively for the public interest, is voluntary and non-discriminatory, is independent of public administration, political parties, religious institutions, or commercial organisations, and is not established for profit. **An informal group cannot be a financial partner**. Organisations whose members of **informal groups must be legal residents** or be based in either the **Donor or Beneficiary States** and must be represented by a person with legal residence in the Czech Republic who signs the partnership agreement on behalf of the group.

Within the EEA Civil Society Fund, projects that plan to cooperate with partners from individual Donor States are welcome. Mutual contact and cooperation are facilitated by contact organisations in Donor States. Links to these organisations can be found on the programme website in the Bilateral fund section.

Two types of partnership

Project Partners may participate as financial or non-financial partners. An organisation may also be a non-financial Project Partner in any number of projects, even within a single grant round. **In the case of financial partners, a maximum of 3 partners may be involved,** provided that the maximum grant amount available under the programme is not exceeded. The total amount of funding for a single organisation under the entire programme may not exceed **€150,000**, regardless of whether it acts as a beneficiary or a financial partner. An exception is made for project grants on systemic change, where the limit is increased to **€200,000**. Support received from the funds for bilateral relations and from small project emergency grants is not included in these limits. There is no limit on the number of non-financial partners in funded projects.
For projects implemented with financial partners, it is expected that the Applicant will be the recipient of the majority share of the grant.

1. **Financial partnership** – This type of Project Partner receives part of the grant via the Project Promoter for the implementation of substantive project activities based on a **Partnership Agreement**. Under this type of partnership, the Applicant is expected to receive the majority of the budget.
2. **Non-financial partnership** – This Project Partner participates in activities (e.g., consultations, expert guarantee) but receives no financial contribution for its participation.

There is no limit on the duration of registration of a Project Partner.
For more information on partnerships, see the **Guide** in Chapter **4.2.1 Partnership Conditions.**

[6] Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway.
[7] Bulgaria, Croatia, Czechia, Cyprus, Estonia, Greece, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia.
[8] International intergovernmental organisations are those with international membership, scope, or presence (intergovernmental organisations established by treaty or international NGOs such as the ICRC or MSF) with presence or registration in the Czech Republic, Donor States, or Beneficiary States.

4.PROJECT CONDITIONS

Detailed rules on financing and eligibility are described in the **Guide** in Chapter **10. Financial management.**

4.1 Co-financing

The grant rate of the project is 100%; co-financing is not required.

4.2 Eligible expenditures

The following Simplified Cost Options **are available** in this call:

- **Lump sum:** When reporting using the lump-sum method, you will not submit any financial documents, but you will provide evidence that you have achieved the milestones you set.
- **Flat rate:** When reporting costs using the flat rate method, 100% of eligible direct personnel costs may be used in order to cover all remaining costs of a project.

Specific provisions on establishing the amounts to be used via flat rates, and lump sums shall be determined in the project contract. **Unit cost and other types of flat rates are not available in this call.**

Expenditures are eligible if they are **declared during the period between the first and last day of project implementation** (the project implementation period is specified in the grant agreement and corresponds to the eligibility period).

The Applicant shall submit a project budget via the eGrant online database. Please note that the inclusion of an expenditure item in a project budget approved by the Fund Operator shall not be considered as confirmation of the eligibility of that expenditure item.

4.3 Payment of the grant

The grant will be paid in installments defined in the project contract. The payment model is based on project duration.

Project duration	**Advance payment** (up to 10 working days after the signature date of the project contract unless a later date has been set in the project contract.)	**Interim payments** (up to 10 working days of the approval of interim project report)	**Final payment – retained amount** (up to 10 working days after the date of approval of the final narrative and final financial report.)
6 months	**up to 95%**	**Not applicable**	**remaining amount**

Project Promoters shall **automatically** receive the full amount in the first instalment, unless a risk analysis identifies the project as too **risky** for the proper functioning of the programme. In the event that a project is identified as risky, the Project Promoter's instalment may be adjusted to **95%**, with a final instalment of **5%**.

5. ADMINISTRATIVE, ELIGIBILITY AND SELECTION CRITERIA

The evaluation of project applications reflects the principles of the programme: it is transparent and does not tolerate any manifestations of corruption.

During the review of administrative and eligibility criteria and substantive evaluation of an application, there must be no conflict of interest between the evaluator and the Applicant. All evaluators and members of the Selection Committee are bound by **Code of Ethics** and **Declaration of no conflict of interest**, in which they declare the objectivity of their evaluation and exclude any conflict of interest.

5.1 Review of administrative and eligibility criteria

The review of administrative and eligibility criteria serves as the first and most critical screening step in the evaluation process of a grant application.

These are **objectively verifiable requirements** that an application must meet in order to proceed to the substantive evaluation. Verification of these criteria is based on clearly identifiable facts and does not involve an assessment of the substantive content or quality of the project itself. A list of these requirements can be found in Chapter 7. Checklist.

The OSF Czechia and OHF consortium will begin reviewing these criterias on the working day following the call's deadline and will complete the review no later than **10 working days** after the deadline.

The consortium will inform the Applicant of the result of the review of administrative and eligibility criteria (i.e., whether the application has advanced to the expert evaluation phase or has been excluded from further evaluation, stating the reasons for the rejection) no later than **20 working days** after the call closes.

If the Consortium excludes an application from further evaluation due to failure to meet administrative, elimination and eligibility criteria, the Applicant has the option to file a written **appeal** and request a reconsideration of the decision within **5 working days** of being notified of the review result. The appeal is then reviewed by an independent **Complaints Committee**. The Applicant is notified by email within **5 working days** of the date the appeal is received.

Administrative criteria

An application may contain a **maximum of two fields that are not filled in with logical text;** in such a case, the Applicant will be asked to correct the error. **Logical text** in a grant application refers to a continuous textual statement (in complete sentences) that directly and clearly answers the question posed. If there are more fields left unfilled in this manner, the application will be excluded from the evaluation process.

If a partner organization is involved, a **Partnership Declaration** must be included.

The Applicant will have 3 working days (3x24 hours) from the day that the request was submitted to make the correction of the abovementioned criteria.

The eGrant system automatically verifies the accuracy of other administrative criteria and will not allow an application with incorrect values to be submitted:

- The requested grant amount is within €2,000–€5,000.
- The project duration is 6 months.

Elimination and eligibility criteria

An application is automatically rejected if it meets any of the disqualification criteria, which include **missing the deadline, submitting the application outside the eGrant system, completing the application in a language other than Czech , or failing to meet the eligibility criteria for the Applicant or Project Partner.**

Applicants may submit **only one application** in this call for proposals. If an Applicant submits more than one grant application via eGrant, **only the first application received will be accepted for evaluation.** Other applications will be automatically **excluded from further evaluation.** The eligibility criteria and elimination criteria, cannot be supplemented by the Applicant after the deadline, and failure to meet even one of these criteria results in the automatic exclusion of the application from further evaluation.

5.2 Content evaluation and Selection Decision

For small project regional grants, the evaluation process is designed to be faster and administratively less demanding; therefore, a simplified selection procedure is applied. The Fund Operator assesses the project applications against the administrative and eligibility criteria, including any exclusion criteria, published with the call for proposals. Each project application that meets the administrative and eligibility criteria is assessed by the Selection Committee established by the Fund Operator. The composition of the Selection Committee reflects the professional focus and thematic areas of the programme; members are nominated by the consortium and selected to ensure an **expert perspective on all programme areas**.The process takes place in several steps: Selection Committee members first **independently score the applications** based on the following evaluation criteria. Subsequently, a **ranking list** is created, which the Selection Committee discusses from a professional perspective, within the context of the programme and the broader social situation. The Selection Committee assesses the Applicant independence, its adherence to the objective of the programme and common values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities, and the benefits of the Applicant's actions and activities for the Czech civil society sector. If any non-compliance is found, the committee may reject the project.

The outcome of the Selection Committee´s deliberation is a **ranking list of grant applications**, in which it recommends p**rojects for support, projects for support with modifications, projects for the reserve list, or projects for rejection**.
The programme's **Steering Committee** subsequently confirms the final list of proposed projects for support along with the reserve list of projects. In justified cases, it may overturn the Selection Committee's decision; however, in such cases, it must provide a justification to both the Applicant and the Financial Mechanism Office (FMO).

The list of proposed projects for support is finally approved by the Board of Trustees of the OSF Czechia. The Applicant **cannot appeal the Board of Trustees's final decision** regarding the support or rejection of a project.

Evaluation criteria and additional points

The evaluation criteria provide a framework for scoring the quality of a project.
An application can receive a maximum total score of 100 points. An exception is made for projects receiving bonus points, which can earn up to 115 points.

	Evaluation criteria	Max. score
1	**Knowledge of the local situation and project benefit for the community/locality**	**40**
	In this criterion, you answer the questions: WHERE? – WHY? – WHY US? ● Does your proposal align with the focus of this call? ● Do you already have specific links to the community/locality where you intend to implement the project? ● Can you identify and accurately describe the problem you wish to address? Do you know its root cause? ● Do you know your project's target group well, and have you thought through how to reach and involve them in the project? ● Have you previously implemented similar projects or activities in the specified community/locality? ● Do you plan to utilise the results of this project in the future? Do you plan to follow up on the project in the given community? **Summary**: Convince the Selection Committee that you know the specified community/locality and its problems well, and that you are best equipped to help in a meaningful way.	40
2	**Project description**	**40**
	In this criterion, you answer the questions: WHAT? – HOW? ● Will your project truly lead to a solution for the described problem? (Describe what specific change will occur in the locality and how you and the participants will recognize that the problem has been solved.) ● Are your planned activities designed to achieve the selected outputs and outcomes? (Carefully consider their choice to ensure they truly lead to the expected change and improvement of the situation in the given community/locality.) ● Does it make sense to involve other entities in the implementation, such as other non-profits, schools, authorities, or experts? (Note: If not necessary, points will not be deducted during evaluation.) ● The possible involvement of partners or cooperating organisations is meaningful. **Summary**: Convince the Selection Committee that you have the best-developed plan for what and how (and possibly with whom) to act so that the situation in the community/locality improves.	40
3	**Project budget**	**20**
	In this criterion, you justify the requested grant amount. ● Have you included everything you need for the project and its activities in the budget? ● Are the costs set efficiently, or is the project overvalued relative to its scope? ● Conversely, is the budget undervalued, which could jeopardize the quality of services or implementation? **Summary**: Convince the Selection Committee that you will manage the acquired grant with "due diligence" (as a responsible manager).	20
	Total score	**100**

	Evaluation criteria	Max. score
4	**Additional points**	**15**
	Additional points for meeting the programmes focus areas If an application meets multiple criteria under sections 6.1–6.4 at the same time, the total number of additional points awarded is capped at a maximum of 10 points.	
4.1	Roma-led and Roma-based organisations or/and projects focusing on the inclusion and empowerment of Roma, including support for Roma-led organisations and Roma women and girls	5
4.2	Projects focused on challenges related to the invasion of Ukraine or involving support and integration of those arriving as a result of the invasion of Ukraine.	5
4.3	Projects focused on countering gender-based violence.	5
	Bonus points for bilateral cooperation In the case of multiple partners per project, advantage will only be applied once.	
4.4	Projects involving Donor partners - Iceland, Liechtenstein, or Norway.	5
	Maximum total score	**115**

5.3 Information on evaluation results

The final list of evaluation results for accepted applications is publicly available and will be posted on the programme website and the websites of individual Consortium partners. Applicants will be informed of the evaluation results within **10 working days** of the final decision, and no later than **two months** after the call deadline.

6. HOW TO APPLY

Only grant applications submitted with any required **annexes** in electronic form via the **eGrant online database** by the **deadline for this** call for proposals will be evaluated.

THE PROJECT MUST BE FORMULATED CONCISELY, CLEARLY, AND PRECISELY.

The Applicant should carefully consider the **logical structure of the project** (i.e., the sequence of needs, objectives, outputs, and activities), clearly present the **baseline situation** or **conclusions of analyses** carried out during the preparation of the project, define and quantify the **target groups** of the project, and describe the **method of implementation of the project**, including the **schedule**, detailed **budget**, **organisational arrangements**, and its **sustainability**.

The application and its annexes, if any, are to be prepared in **Czech** (except for the project title and a Partnership Declaration in the case of a foreign partner).

The application and attachments, if any, can be filled out gradually in the eGrant database; we recommend submitting the application well before the final deadline. Submitting the application at the last minute may cause difficulties due to system overload. It will not be possible to submit the application at a later date.

Applicants may submit only one application in this call for proposals. If an Applicant submits more than one grant application via eGrant, only the **first** application received will be accepted for evaluation. Other applications will be automatically excluded from further consideration.

Detailed instructions for completing the application in the eGrant database are provided in the **Guide in Chapter 6. Submitting an application in the eGrant system.**

7. CHECKLIST

The complete **project application** must be submitted exclusively through the **eGrant online database** by filling out the appropriate form. In the case of a partnership, the application must also include a **Partnership Declaration** (in Czech or English, depending on the language of Project Partner). You can find the Partnership Declaration template on the programme website. Before submitting the application, use this checklist to verify that the application meets the key criteria.

The following table contains **eligibility criteria and elimination criteria,** which are of an exclusionary nature and are assessed on a **YES/NO** basis. If you answer NO to any of the points below, your application **does not meet** the basic conditions of the programme and will be automatically excluded from the further evaluation process regardless of the quality of its content. **The following criteria must be fully met.**

Eligibility criteria	The Applicant has been registered in the Czech Republic for more than 1 year.	
	The Applicant has a valid legal form.	
	Both the Applicant and the Project Partner comply with common values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities.	
	The Applicant is registered and operates in the Karlovy Vary or Plzeň Region.	
Elimination criteria	The application is completed in Czech.	
	The application is submitted via eGrant by 15 September 2026.	

The following points constitute **administrative criteria**. These criteria are assessed as part of a review of administrative and eligibility criteria, and Applicants may be asked by the programme team to provide additional information. If these deficiencies are not addressed within the specified timeframe, the application will be excluded from the evaluation process.

Administrative criteria	A **Partnership Declaration** is attached to the application (in the case of collaboration with a Project Partner).	
	A request may contain a **maximum of 2 text fields** that are not filled with logical text; if there are more, the request will be rejected.	
	The requested grant amount is within €2,000 - €5,000.	
	The proposed implementation period (duration) is 6 months.	

We recommend that you pay attention to the following points:

The Applicant has all mandatory information up to date in the public register.	
Organisations participating in the project comply with the Children's Rights Policy And In Particular Vulnerable Adults[9].	
Contact information is correctly filled out.	
The Applicant complies with the definition of independence.	
You used the correct EUR exchange rate when creating the budget.	
The planned costs meet the eligibility criteria.	

[9] Available at The Open Society Fund´s Principles - Nadace OSF.

8. CONTACT AND SUPPORT FOR APPLICANTS

Detailed information for Applicants on the conditions, completion, and submission of grant applications is provided in **The Guide for Applicants and Project Promoters**. Before completing the application, we recommend reading the **Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)** on the programme website. All current information about the programme is also published there.

8.1 Consultations

Consultations on the processing of applications are provided by email or telephone by the programme team throughout the duration of the call. Questions can be sent by email no later than 5 working days before the deadline for submitting applications **(15 September 2026 at 13:00). Please include "Consultation on Call No. 3" in the subject line of your email.** Telephone consultations are provided on Mondays–Thursdays, but no later than 3 working days before the deadline for submitting applications.

Information webinars and open consultations

We organize information webinars for Applicants and online group consultation sessions where you can learn detailed information about the Call.
Webinar dates are going to be specified and updated on the programme's website.

8.2 Applicant support

Consortium leader
OSF Czechia
Tel.: 778 976 644
E-mail: civilsocietyfund@osf.cz
www.osf.cz
Programme manager: Diana Soták Gregorová
Programme coordinators: Lucie Rott Beneschová; Rosa-Marie Pokorná, Markéta Ševčík

Consortium partner
The Committee of Goodwill - Olga Havel Foundation
Tel.: 605 754 826
Email: civilsocietyfund@vdv.cz
www.vdv.cz
Programme manager: Alžběta Čechráková
Programme coordinators: Markéta Boučková, Jiří Marek

Technical support: Petr Svatoš, technickapomoc@osf.cz
Complaints Committee: podnety@osf.cz

8.3 Overview of Important Links

Civil Society Fund Programme: www.civilsocietyfund.cz
EEA and Norway Grants: https://eeagrants.org/
OSF Czechia: https://osf.cz/
Committee of Good Will – Olga Havel Foundation https://www.vdv.cz/
eGrant Online Database: https://egrant.nadaceosf.cz/

Table 1: Outcomes and outputs

	Outcome 1: Democratic values and rule of law strengthened			**Outcome 2: Human Rights strengthened**			**Outcome 3: CSOs that promote democracy, the rule of law, and human rights, strengthened**		**Bilateral Outcome**
	Output 1.1	Output 1.2	Output 1.3	Output 2.1	Output 2.2	Output 2.3	Output 3.1	Output 3.2	
Small project regional grants	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✗	✔